

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		www.uspto.gov				
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST MANDE OF				
09/889,379	07/16/2001	THEST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
03/003,373	07/16/2001	Hiroshi Sugiyama	56212 (71526)	2742		
	90 01/15/2003					
Peter F Corles						
Dike Bronstein Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Pratice Group Edwards & Angell PO Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209			EXAMINER			
			TUNG, J	ОҮСЕ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1637	ka		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	18		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/889,379

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Sugiyama et al. Art Unit

			Joyce Tung	1637	
	The MAILING DATE of this community for Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the	L	
Period				spondence addre	98 8
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC			I(S) FROM	
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu	4			
- Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi sply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		"" " " " " Ohio Six (0) WIUNTHS from the mailing	a data of this	nication.
Status	у делоги сынг абриялияни. See 37 СРН 1.704(b).		many section turnery filed, may rec	ruce any	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on Nov 1 20	02		
2a) 🗌	The factor of the same of the	2b) 💢 This acti			 •
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition	for allowance a		ution as to the	morito in
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practicion of Claims	ce under <i>Ex par</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 C).G. 213.	ments is
1	Claim(c) 1 10				
			is/are	pending in the	application.
4:	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are	withdrawa fra	M consideration
			io	fore ellering	m consideration.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17, and</u>	19	IS	/are allowed.	
7) 💢 (Claim(s) 6, 7, 9, 12-14, 16, and 18		is	/are rejected.	
8) 💢 (Claim(s) <u>6, 7, 9, 12-14, 16, and 18</u> Claims 1-19		is	are objected to	0.
Applicati	Claims <u>1-19</u>		are subject to restriction	on and/or elect	ion requirement.
	The specification is objected to by the				
10) 🗌 🗇	The drawing(s) filed on				
	The drawing(s) filed on	Is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected	to by the Exam	iner.
	7 Met request that any obje	ection to the dra	Wing(s) he hold in about a		
	The proposed drawing correction filed If approved, corrected drawings are required.	Uired in rophy +-	is: a) approved b)	\square disapproved	by the Examiner.
_	he oath or declaration is objected to	anea in tebly to	this Office action		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)□ Д	cknowledgement is made of a claim	for foreign prior	fity under 25 U.O.O. s asset		
a) 🗌	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		rty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	1
1.		Cuments have h	neen recoived		
2.	Certified copies of the priority do	Cuments have b	Pen received in Apple		
3. [Copies of the certified conies of the	ha priority date.	and the second s	- New : -	·
*See	the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the co	ertified copies not received	s National Stag	e
, ,,,	skillowledgement is made of a claim f	or domestic prin	Ority under 25 H.C.C. 5 4404		
	The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional an	Inlication has been		
15)∐ Ac	morning general is made of a claim to	or domestic pric	Drity under 35 U.S.C. && 120 an	d/o- 101	
		,	, 311201 00 01010. 33 120 an	u/or 121.	
2) Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
3) V Infa-	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) [Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
A miloima	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Other:		

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-19 in Paper No. 16 is acknowledged. Since there is no argument regarding the restriction requirement, the election is considered to be without traverse.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6-7, 9, 12, 13-14, 16 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim from claims 1-5 or 1-6, 1-8 or 1-11 or 1-13 or 7-12 or 2-15 or 1-17. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-7, 9, 12, 13-14, 16 and 18 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 15,17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

a. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 15 and 17 are vague and indefinite because there are no active steps in the method to describe how to detect or identify an action of a chemical species A to a substance containing DNA or RNA.

- b. Claims 2-5 and 19 are vague and indefinite because of the language "assaying a state of the substance containing DNA or RNA" in claims 2 and 19. It is unclear what is the definition of "a state". Clarification is required.
- c. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 15 and 17 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether or not the phrase "a chemical species A" in claims 1 and 2 is the same as the chemical structure A in the formula (I). Clarification is required.
- d. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 15 and 17 are vague and indefinite because the phrase "recognize" in claims 1 and 3-4 is unclear whether or not the phrase means that the chemical species has physical contact with a base sequence of DNA. Clarification is required.
- e. Claim 3 is vague and indefinite because the claim language "recognize a difference of the base sequence of DNA or RNA of the substance containing DNA or RNA and the substance containing DNA or RNA which is introduced into each well is the same substances" is unclear what it is meant.
- f. Claims 1-5 and 19 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear how the language "non-natural bases" is defined. Does it meant that the bases are synthetic bases? Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,379

Art Unit: 1637

g. Claims 17 is vague and indefinite because of the language "detecting survival or death of the substance is coloring or the substance". It is unclear what is meant by "substance". Clarification is required.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (5,273,991).

Lee et al. disclose Imidazole-substituted oligopeptide derivatives which are conjugated to DNA alkylating agents. The compounds are useful as antitumor agents (See column 2, lines 58-61). Two, three or four imidazole groups are joined by carboxamido linkages (See column 4, lines 56-58), The compounds are capable of binding to the minor groove of double stranded DNA (See column 8, lines 63-67). The compound is used to inhibit the growth of various cancers (See column 9, lines 30-41). The invention is directed to a method of treatment of cancers (See column 9, lines 40-44). This teaching is inherent that there is the method for detecting or identify the action of the compounds. The cells are tumor cells (See column 23, lines 1-6). The

Application/Control Number: 09/889,379

Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

compounds aliquots were added to the plate wells (See column 23, lines 7-12). Thus the teachings of Lee et al. anticipate the limitations of claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 10, and 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (5,273,991).

The teachings of Lee et al. are set forth in section 6 above and Lee et al. do not disclose a kit containing the compound for performing the method. However, it would have been <u>prima</u> facie obvious to construct a kit with the reagents needed for perform a method because it was

Application/Control Number: 09/889,379

Page 6

Art Unit: 1637

well known the art at the time of instant invention to construct the kit for the convenience of performing the method.

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

January 10, 2003

Ifoy fur JEFFREY SIEW PRIMARY EXAMINER ///3/07